

HIGHER COHOMOLOGY OF THE PLURICANONICAL BUNDLE IS NOT DEFORMATION INVARIANT

NING HAO, LI LI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we compute the dimensions of the 1st and 2nd cohomology groups of all the pluricanonical bundles for Hirzebruch surfaces, and the dimensions of the 1st and 2nd cohomology groups of the second pluricanonical bundles for a blow-up of a projective plane along finite many distinct points. Both of them give examples showing that the higher cohomology of the pluricanonical bundle is not deformation invariant.

Contents

1. Introduction	1
2. Deformation of a Hirzebruch surface: Proof of Theorem 2	2
3. Deformation of a blow-up of a projective space	4
References	6

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\Delta = \{t \in \mathbb{C} : |t| < 1\}$ be the unit disc in the complex plane. Assume Y is a compact complex manifold. Denote by K_Y the canonical line bundle of Y . Denote by $h^i(Y, L) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^i(Y, L)$ for any line bundle L on Y . The dimension of $H^0(Y, mK_Y)$ is called the m -th plurigenus of Y . In [S02], Siu proved the following remarkable theorem on the deformation invariance of the plurigenera.

THEOREM 1. [S02] *Let $\pi : X \rightarrow \Delta$ be a holomorphic family of compact complex projective algebraic manifolds with fiber X_t . Then for any positive integer m , the complex dimension of $H^0(X_t, mK_{X_t})$ is independent of t for $t \in \Delta$.*

It is then natural to ask the following question:

QUESTION 1.1. Is the dimension of $H^q(X_t, mK_{X_t})$ independent of t , for $q > 0$?

The main point of the present article is to give a negative answer to Question 1.1. In fact, the following two theorems are our main results.

THEOREM 2. *For $m \geq 0$, Let $F_m = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(m))$ be the m -th Hirzebruch surface. Then*

$$\dim H^0(-kK_{F_m}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(4k + (k - \left\lceil \frac{2k}{m} \right\rceil)m + 2 \right) \left(k + \left\lceil \frac{2k}{m} \right\rceil + 1 \right).$$

THEOREM 3. *Let $M \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ be the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^2$ along v distinct points. The dimension of $H^0(-K_M)$ is $10 - v$ for $v \leq 4$; for $v > 4$, the dimension depends on the position of the v points: for each integer i such that $\max(10 - v, 0) \leq i \leq 6$, there exist v distinct points such that the corresponding M satisfies $\dim H^0(-K_M) = i$.*

Returning to Question 1.1, Theorem 2 shows that for each k the dimensions $h^0(-kK_{F_m})$ depend on m in a non-constant way. On the other hand, it is shown in [K86, Example 2.16] that for any positive integer $\ell \leq m/2$ there is a holomorphic family $M \rightarrow \Delta$ whose fiber M_t is isomorphic to $F_{m-2\ell}$ for $t \neq 0$, and whose central fiber M_0 is isomorphic to F_m . By Serre duality, we find that

$$h^2((k+1)K_{M_t}) = h^0(-kK_{M_t})$$

depends on t in a non-constant fashion.

Similarly, consider the situation of Theorem 3. Let $B = \{(q_1, \dots, q_v) \in (\mathbb{P}^2)^v : q_i \neq q_j \text{ if } i \neq j\}$ be the configuration space of v points in $\mathbb{P}^2$. Denote by $\Gamma_{\pi_i} \subset B \times \mathbb{P}^2$ the graph of the morphism $\pi_i : B \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ induced from the projection $(\mathbb{P}^2)^v \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ to the i -th factor. Let $\widetilde{M}$ be the blow-up of $B \times \mathbb{P}^2$ along v disjoint submanifolds Γ_{π_i} for $1 \leq i \leq v$. The natural morphism $\widetilde{M} \rightarrow B$ is a holomorphic family with each fiber M_t over $t = (q_1, \dots, q_v)$ being isomorphic to the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^2$ along v points $q_1, \dots, q_v$. Theorem 3 says that

$$h^2(2K_{M_t}) = h^0(-K_{M_t})$$

is not constant for $v > 5$. Since B is obviously smooth and connected, we can find a small disc $\Delta \subset B$ such that $h^2(2K_{M_t})$ is not constant for $t \in \Delta$.

REMARK. So far we have shown, modulo the proofs of Theorems 2 and 3, that there are holomorphic families $M \rightarrow \Delta$ such that $h^2(kK_{M_t})$ depends on t . On the other hand, we know from Theorem 1 (and in fact from earlier results using the classification of surfaces) that $h^0(kK_{M_t})$ is constant in t . Now, suppose X is a complex projective algebraic surface. Let K be the canonical line bundle of X . Applying the Riemann-Roch Theorem for surfaces, we have

$$h^0(kK) - h^1(kK) + h^2(kK) = \frac{1}{2}kK(kK - K) + \chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = \frac{1}{2}k(k-1)K^2 + \chi(\mathcal{O}_X).$$

Moreover, by Noether's formula,

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = \frac{K^2 + \chi_{\text{top}}(X)}{12},$$

and therefore

$$h^1(kK) = h^0(kK) + h^2(kK) - (6k^2 - 6k + 1)\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) + \frac{1}{2}k(k-1)\chi_{\text{top}}(X).$$

Now, a deformation does not change the differentiable structure, and $\chi_{\text{top}}(X)$ is a topological invariant. Therefore, $\chi_{\text{top}}(X)$ is a deformation invariant. Next, $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = h^{0,0} - h^{1,0} + h^{2,0}$ is also invariant for a family of Kähler manifolds, because Hodge numbers are invariant for such a family. By Siu's result of invariance of plurigenera, $h^0(kK)$ is also a deformation invariant. It follows that, since $h^2(kK)$ is not a deformation invariant, neither is $h^1(kK)$.

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to Dror Varolin, who brought this problem to our attention and provided considerable advice and encouragement throughout our investigations, to Blaine Lawson for his valuable comments and encouragement, to Yujen Shu for useful discussions.

2. DEFORMATION OF A HIRZEBRUCH SURFACE: PROOF OF THEOREM 2

Recall that the Hirzebruch surfaces F_m ($m \geq 0$) is the $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle over $\mathbb{P}^1$ associated to the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(m)$. For example, F_0 is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, and F_1 is the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^2$ at a point.

$\mathbb{P}^1$ can be covered by two affine line $U_1, U_2 \cong \mathbb{C}$. Let z_1 and z_2 be affine coordinates for U_1 and U_2 respectively. Then on U_1 and U_2 we have $z_1 z_2 = 1$. The sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(m)$ can be constructed by gluing two trivial bundles $U_1 \times \mathbb{C}$ and $U_2 \times \mathbb{C}$ together as follows: a section of s_1 of $U_1 \times \mathbb{C}$ can be glued with a section s_2 of $U_2 \times \mathbb{C}$ if $s_1(z_1) = z_1^m s_2(z_2)$ on $U_1 \cap U_2$ where $z_2 = 1/z_1$ (that is to say,

the transition function of the corresponding vector bundle is z_1^m). Therefore, F_m can be obtained by gluing $U_1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ with $U_2 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ where $(z_1, \zeta_1) \in U_1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is identified with $(z_2, \zeta_2) \in U_2 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ if

$$z_1 z_2 = 1, \text{ and } \zeta_1 = z_2^m \zeta_2.$$

(Here and below we adopt the standard abuse of notation, using an affine coordinate ζ_1 to denote a point in the fiber $\mathbb{P}^1$.)

We recall the holomorphic family $\{M_t : t \in \mathbb{C}\}$ defined in [K86, Example 2.16]: Fix a positive integer $\ell \leq m/2$, define M_t as

$$M_t = U_1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \cup U_2 \times \mathbb{P}^1,$$

where $(z_1, \zeta_1) \in U_1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and $(z_2, \zeta_2) \in U_2 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ are the same point in M_t if

$$z_1 z_2 = 1 \text{ and } \zeta_1 = z_2^m \zeta_2 + t z_2^\ell.$$

It is proved in [K86] that M_t is isomorphic to Hirzebruch surface F_m if $t = 0$ and isomorphic to $F_{m-2\ell}$ if $t \neq 0$. Thus F_m is a deformation of $F_{m-2\ell}$.

Now we calculate $\dim H^0(-kK_{F_m}) = \dim \Gamma((\bigwedge^2 T_{F_m})^{\otimes k})$ for $k > 0$. First, we assert that a section in $\Gamma(U_1 \times \mathbb{P}^1, (\bigwedge^2 T_{U_1 \times \mathbb{P}^1})^{\otimes k})$ is of the form

$$v_1(z_1, \zeta_1) = \left(a_{2k}(z_1) \zeta_1^{2k} + a_{2k-1}(z_1) \zeta_1^{2k-1} + \dots + a_0(z_1) \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_1} \right)^{\otimes k},$$

i.e., it the expansion contains no term of power of ζ^i for $i > 2k$. Indeed, expand $v_1(z_1, \zeta_1)$ into a power series $(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i(z_1) \zeta_1^i) (\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_1})^{\otimes k}$. Now cover $U_1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ with two open subset $U_1 \times V_1$ and $U_1 \times V_2$ where V_1 and V_2 are isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$ and cover $\mathbb{P}^1$. Assume the coordinates of V_1 and V_2 are ζ_1 and η_1 respectively. Then $\zeta_1 \eta_1 = 1$ on $V_1 \cap V_2$, and therefore $\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_1} = -\eta_1^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_1}$. In $U_1 \times V_2$, the section $v_1(z_1, \zeta_1)$ is of the form

$$\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i(z_1) \frac{1}{\eta_1^i} \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \wedge (-\eta_1^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_1} \right)^{\otimes k} = (-1)^k \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i(z_1) \eta_1^{2k-i} \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_1} \right)^{\otimes k}.$$

For this section to be holomorphic, all the terms $a_i(z_1)$ for $i > 2k$ should vanish.

Similarly, a section in $\Gamma(U_2 \times \mathbb{P}^1, (\bigwedge^2 T_{U_2 \times \mathbb{P}^1})^{\otimes k})$ is of the form

$$v_2(z_2, \zeta_2) = \left(b_{2k}(z_2) \zeta_2^{2k} + b_{2k-1}(z_2) \zeta_2^{2k-1} + \dots + b_0(z_2) \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_2} \right)^{\otimes k}.$$

To glue v_1 and v_2 into a section of $\Gamma(F_m, (\bigwedge^2 T_{F_m})^{\otimes k})$, we need $v_1(z_1, \zeta_1) = v_2(z_2, \zeta_2)$ over $(U_1 \cap U_2) \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Since

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} = -z_1^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} + m z_2^{m-1} \zeta_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_1}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_2} = z_1^{-m} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_1},$$

we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_2} = -z_1^{2-m} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_1}.$$

Therefore on $(U_1 \cap U_2) \times \mathbb{P}^1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& v_2(z_2, \zeta_2) \\
&= \left(b_{2k}(z_2) \zeta_2^{2k} + b_{2k-1}(z_2) \zeta_2^{2k-1} + \dots + b_0(z_2) \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_2} \right)^{\otimes k} \\
&= \left[b_{2k} \left(\frac{1}{z_1} \right) z_1^{2km} \zeta_1^{2k} + b_{2k-1} \left(\frac{1}{z_1} \right) z_1^{(2k-1)m} \zeta_1^{2k-1} + \dots + b_0 \left(\frac{1}{z_1} \right) \right] (-z_1^{2-m})^k \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_1} \right)^{\otimes k} \\
&= (-1)^k \left[b_{2k} \left(\frac{1}{z_1} \right) z_1^{(m+2)k} \zeta_1^{2k} + b_{2k-1} \left(\frac{1}{z_1} \right) z_1^{(m+2)k-m} \zeta_1^{2k-1} + \dots + b_0 \left(\frac{1}{z_1} \right) z_1^{(2-m)k} \right] \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_1} \right)^{\otimes k}
\end{aligned}$$

Comparing the coefficients above with the coefficients of

$$v_1(z_1, \zeta_1) = \left(a_{2k}(z_1) \zeta_1^{2k} + a_{2k-1}(z_1) \zeta_1^{2k-1} + \dots + a_0(z_1) \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_1} \right)^{\otimes k},$$

we get

$$\begin{cases} (-1)^k b_{2k} \left(\frac{1}{z_1} \right) z_1^{2k+km} = a_{2k}(z_1), \\ (-1)^k b_{2k-1} \left(\frac{1}{z_1} \right) z_1^{2k+(k-1)m} = a_{2k-1}(z_1), \\ \dots \\ (-1)^k b_i \left(\frac{1}{z_1} \right) z_1^{2k+(i-k)m} = a_i(z_1), \\ \dots \\ (-1)^k b_0 \left(\frac{1}{z_1} \right) z_1^{2k-mk} = a_0(z_1). \end{cases}$$

Thus we see that for a_i and b_i to be holomorphic, $a_i(z_1)$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq 2k + (i-k)m$ (thus it has $2k + (i-k)m + 1$ degrees of freedom for $i \geq k - \frac{2k}{m}$ and 0 otherwise), and then b_i is uniquely determined by a_i . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\dim H^0(-kK_{F_m}) &= \dim \Gamma \left(\left(\bigwedge^2 T_{F_m} \right)^{\otimes k} \right) \\
&= (2k + km + 1) + (2k + (k-1)m + 1) + \dots + \left(2k - \left\lfloor \frac{2k}{m} \right\rfloor m + 1 \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left(4k + \left(k - \left\lfloor \frac{2k}{m} \right\rfloor \right) m + 2 \right) \left(k + \left\lfloor \frac{2k}{m} \right\rfloor + 1 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus Theorem 2 is proved.

It is then a direct calculation to get the following

COROLLARY 2.1. *The dimension of the first cohomology of mK_{F_m} is*

$$h^1(kK_{F_m}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(4k - 2 + (k-1)m - \left\lfloor \frac{2(k-1)}{m} \right\rfloor m \right) \left(k + \left\lfloor \frac{2(k-1)}{m} \right\rfloor \right) - 4k^2 + 4k - 1.$$

3. DEFORMATION OF A BLOW-UP OF A PROJECTIVE SPACE

Take v distinct points $q_1, \dots, q_v$ on $\mathbb{P}^n$, let $M \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ be the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^n$ along these v points, and let $E_1, \dots, E_v$ be the corresponding exceptional divisors.

Let $\mathbb{Z}_+$ be the set of nonnegative integers. For $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$, define $|\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$. Let $f(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ be a polynomial of n variables. Denote

$$\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} f}{\partial z^\alpha} = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} f}{\partial z_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial z_n^{\alpha_n}}.$$

We need the following lemma:

LEMMA 3.1. *For an integer $k > 0$, the vector space $H^0(-kK_M)$ is isomorphic to the vector space consisting of polynomials $f(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ of degree $\leq (n+1)k$ satisfying*

$$\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} f}{\partial z^\alpha}(q_i) = 0, \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq v, \forall |\alpha| < (n-1)k.$$

Proof. The line bundle $-kK_M$ (resp. $-kK_{\mathbb{P}^n}$) is isomorphic to $(\bigwedge^n T_M)^{\otimes k}$ (resp. $(\bigwedge^n T_{\mathbb{P}^n})^{\otimes k}$). Thus the natural push-forward of vector fields

$$\pi_* : \Gamma(T_M) \rightarrow \Gamma(T_{\mathbb{P}^n})$$

induces a natural push-forward

$$\tilde{\pi}_* : \Gamma((\bigwedge^n T_M)^{\otimes k}) \rightarrow \Gamma((\bigwedge^n T_{\mathbb{P}^n})^{\otimes k})$$

hence a natural push-forward

$$\tilde{\pi}_* : \Gamma(-kK_M) \rightarrow \Gamma(-kK_{\mathbb{P}^n}).$$

Since $\pi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ is an isomorphism outside the exceptional divisors, $\tilde{\pi}_*$ must be injective. Indeed, if a holomorphic section of $\Gamma(-kK_M)$ is zero on a open subset of M , then it must be identically zero. Therefore the dimension of $\Gamma(-kK_M)$ is equal to the image of $\tilde{\pi}_*$.

Now we examine which elements of $\Gamma(-kK_{\mathbb{P}^n})$ are in the image of $\tilde{\pi}_* : \Gamma(-kK_M) \rightarrow \Gamma(-kK_{\mathbb{P}^n})$. Locally, we consider an open polydisc $U = \{z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) : |z_i| < \epsilon, \forall i\}$ of $\mathbb{C}^n$ where $\epsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small. Let $\tilde{U}$ be the blow-up of U along the center $(0, \dots, 0)$. Then

$$\tilde{U} = \{(z, \zeta) \in U \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1} : z_i \zeta_j = z_j \zeta_i, \forall 1 \leq i < j \leq n\},$$

where $(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)$ is the homogeneous coordinates of $\zeta \in \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. Let

$$\tilde{U}_i = \{(z, \zeta) \in \tilde{U} : \zeta_i \neq 0\}.$$

Without loss of generality, we consider $i = 1$.

$$\tilde{U}_1 = \{(w_1, \dots, w_n) : |w_1|, |w_1 w_2|, \dots, |w_1 w_n| < \epsilon\}$$

with the embedding $\tilde{U}_1 \hookrightarrow \tilde{U}$ given by $(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n) \mapsto (w_1, w_1 w_2, \dots, w_1 w_n)$.

An element of $(\bigwedge^n T_U)^{\otimes k}$ is of the form

$$f(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z_n} \right)^{\otimes k}.$$

Since $w_1 = z_1, w_2 = z_2/z_1, \dots, w_n = z_n/z_1$, we have $\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} - \frac{w_2}{w_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_2} - \frac{w_3}{w_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_3} - \dots - \frac{w_n}{w_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_n}$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} = \frac{1}{w_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_n} = \frac{1}{w_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_n}$. Therefore it induces a meromorphic section of $(\bigwedge^n T_{\tilde{U}_1})^{\otimes k}$:

$$f(w_1, w_1 w_2, \dots, w_1 w_n) \frac{1}{w_1^{(n-1)k}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial w_n} \right)^{\otimes k}.$$

Expanding f in a power series, we see that the smallest degree of nonzero terms of the power series should be no less than $(n-1)k$ to ensure the above section being holomorphic.

Thus, the local calculation shows $\Gamma(-kK_M)$ is isomorphic to the subspace of $\Gamma(-kK_{\mathbb{P}^n})$ where the Taylor expansion of each section at $q_i (1 \leq i \leq v)$ contains no term of degree $< (n-1)k$.

We can always find a hyperplane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ that does not contain any $q_i (1 \leq i \leq v)$. The complement of H , denoted by V , is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^n$. It is well known that sections of $\Gamma(-kK_{\mathbb{P}^n}) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}((n+1)k)$ are in one-to-one correspondence with polynomials on $V \cong \mathbb{C}^n$ of degree $\leq (n+1)k$. Thus the dimension of $\Gamma(-kK_{\mathbb{P}^n})$ is $\binom{(n+1)k+n}{n}$. Therefore the subspace $\Gamma(-kK_M) = H^0(-kK_M)$ can be identified with the set of polynomials f of degree $\leq (n+1)k$ satisfying

$$\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} f}{\partial z^\alpha}(q_i) = 0, \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq v, \forall |\alpha| < (n-1)k.$$

□

Now we examine the case when $n = 2$ and $k = 1$, i.e. $H^0(-K_M)$ where M is the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^2$ at v points $q_1, \dots, q_v$. The above lemma says that

$$H^0(-K_M) = \{\text{polynomials } f \text{ of degree } \leq 3 \text{ such that } f(q_i) = 0, \forall 1 \leq i \leq v.\}$$

The dimension of $H^0(-K_M)$ depends on the position of q_i 's. Indeed, let $f = \sum_{i+j \leq 3} a_{ij} z_1^i z_2^j$ be the polynomial corresponding to the vector $v_f = (a_{ij})_{i+j \leq 3} \in \mathbb{C}^{10}$. Consider the map $\mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{10}$ sending a point $q = (x, y)$ to $\hat{q} = (x^i y^j)_{i+j \leq 3} = (1, x, y, x^2, xy, y^2, x^3, x^2y, xy^2, y^3)$ (the map $q \mapsto \hat{q}$ can be thought of as the affine version of Veronese embedding) and denote the image of this map by S . Then the condition $f(q) = 0$ means exactly that $\hat{f} \cdot \hat{q} = 0$. As there is no proper linear subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{10}$ containing S , generic v points $\hat{q}_1, \dots, \hat{q}_v$ in S are linearly independent for $v \leq 10$. Therefore, $H^0(-K_M) = 10 - v$ for generic $v(\leq 10)$ points $p_1, \dots, p_v$.

Now we assert that for any $v \leq 4$ points $q_i = (x_i, y_i)$, the corresponding v points $\{\hat{q}_i\}_{i=1}^v$ in S span a linear subspace of dimension v in $\mathbb{C}^{10}$ (in another word, they are in general position). Indeed, after changing coordinates if necessary, we can assume all x_i 's are different. Then the matrix with the i -th row being the coordinate of $\hat{q}_i$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & y_1 & x_1^2 & x_1 y_1 & y_1^2 & x_1^3 & x_1^2 y_1 & x_1 y_1^2 & y_1^3 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_v & y_v & x_v^2 & x_v y_v & y_v^2 & x_v^3 & x_v^2 y_v & x_v y_v^2 & y_v^3 \end{pmatrix},$$

which contains a $v \times v$ square

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & \cdots & x_1^{v-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & x_v & \cdots & x_v^{v-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

Its determinant is $\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq v} (x_j - x_i)$ which is nonzero since we assume that the x_i 's are different. Therefore the above $v \times 10$ matrix is of full rank, which implies the v points $\{\hat{q}_i\}_{i=1}^v$ in S span a linear subspace of dimension v in $\mathbb{C}^{10}$.

On the other hand, we can easily find as finitely many points as we want in S which lie in a 4 dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{10}$. For example, we can take $\{q_i = (i, 0)\}_{i=1}^v$, the corresponding $\{\hat{q}_i\}_{i=1}^v \in \mathbb{C}^{10}$ satisfy the requirement. for $v \geq 5$, start from v points of S in a 4 dimensional subspace, move them point by point to a general position, we get v points in S spanning a subspace of dimension $4, 5, \dots, \min(v, 10)$, respectively. Therefore we have proved the following theorem:

THEOREM 4. *Let $M \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ be the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^2$ along v distinct points. The dimension of $H^0(-K_M)$ is $10 - v$ for $v \leq 4$; for $v > 4$, the dimension depends on the position of the v points: for each integer i such that $\max(10 - v, 0) \leq i \leq 6$, there exist v distinct points such that the corresponding M satisfies $\dim H^0(-K_M) = i$.*

It is then a direct calculation to get the following

COROLLARY 3.2. *The dimension of the first cohomology of $2K$ is*

$$h^1(2K) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } v \leq 4; \\ \text{integer between } \max(0, v - 10) \text{ and } v - 4, & \text{if } v \geq 5. \end{cases}$$

REFERENCES

- [K86] K. Kodaira, Complex manifolds and deformation of complex structures. Translated from the Japanese by Kazuo Akao. With an appendix by Daisuke Fujiwara. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], 283. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986. x+465 pp.

- [S02] Siu, Y.-T., *Extension of twisted pluricanonical sections with plurisubharmonic weight and invariance of semipositively twisted plurigenera for manifolds not necessarily of general type*. Complex geometry. Collection of papers dedicated to Hans Grauert. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002. (223–277)

NING HAO
Mathematics Department, SUNY at Stony Brook
Stony Brook, NY 11794, US
Email: nhao@math.sunysb.edu

LI LI
Korean Institute for Advanced Study
207-43 Cheongryangri-dong, Seoul 130-722, Korea
Email: lili@kias.re.kr